

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAMES MOSLEY,
Plaintiff,

v.

WELLS FARGO *et al* SETTLEMENT
ADMINISTRATOR,
Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:


CIVIL ACTION NO. 23-CV-4669

ORDER

AND NOW, this 12th day of December, 2023, upon consideration of Plaintiff James Mosley's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 1), and *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 2) it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
2. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to amend the docket of this case to reflect that there are two named Defendants: "Wells Fargo" and "Settlement Administrator."
3. The Complaint is **DEEMED** filed.
4. The Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for the reasons in the Court's Memorandum as follows:
 - a. All federal law claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
 - b. All state law claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
5. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:



MIA R. PEREZ, J.